

2022 AGM Ruled Out of Order Motions

The motions below were received for consideration for the Cycling UK 2022 AGM. Following a motion passed at the 2016 AGM it is our practice that any amended or rejected motions should be published (redacted if confidential personal information is involved) on the website.

The reasons for ruling the motions out of order is recorded below.

No.	Motion	Reason	Proposer	Seconder	Board response
1.	That Cycling UK approaches representatives of National Parks and Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB), National Scenic Areas (NSAs - Scotland), or other areas, with a view to promoting family friendly quiet cycling routes.	<p>There are 15 National Parks in the UK. England, Wales and Northern Ireland has 46 Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB), Scotland has 40 National Scenic Areas (NSAs) and there are other areas that are often good for cycling. Cycling UK may be able to gather details of the most suitable cycling routes that are already available and suggest where improvements may be possible.</p> <p>Over time Cycling UK may recommend nearby campsites that it considers suitable and work together with local councils and interested parties to improve cycling in these areas, thus increasing the areas attractiveness for cycling visits. Site owners may be interested in being listed and add cycling details to their information. Options to provide display boards of the routes could be considered if demand and funding became available.</p> <p>Cycling UK regional groups and members may also be able to suggest suitable routes or where improvements could be made in their area, such as providing 'cycleways' connecting villages that provide a more direct route and possibly avoids busy roads.</p>	Colin Clarke	Doug Hope	This motion duplicates both existing and planned activities, as Cycling UK already engages with representatives of landscape bodies through our off-road engagement and campaigning work. For example, Cycling UK met with and presented to access officer leads from all the National Parks last year, and recently worked with National Parks England on a joint funding bid to promote cycle access to and within National Parks and partner AONBs.

No.	Motion	Reason	Proposer	Secunder	Board response
		Details of the routes could be made available to the public and be helpful to existing cycling or people intending to cycle.			
2.	That Cycling UK introduce a subscription package for member groups identical to the affiliate rate for non-member groups for those members who are ineligible for the concessionary rate	At the moment individual members of Member groups who are ineligible for the concessionary rate have to pay the full adult rate (£48). Members of affiliate groups have a choice of the full member rate or the reduced affiliate rate (£33). This is inequitable. Not all members value the magazine, or access to the legal helpline. Given that the third party insurance offer is the same for full members and affiliates it seems very unfair that members of member groups, the life blood of Cycling UK are denied the choices available to affiliate groups.	Peter Gimber	Tony Cates	We were happy to receive this proposed motion and acknowledge the anomaly which is highlighted. We are rejecting the motion however because we are working to resolve the issue through a different process. We are currently undertaking a project which is looking at the membership offer as a whole and the affiliate rates are being reviewed within this. This project is seeking to respond to feedback from members and other stakeholders in order to update the membership offer and ensure that it remains good value and that what we are offering is in line with our values.