

## Key changes to the Highway Code

The Department for Transport are consulting upon proposed changes to the Highway Code (HC), to improve safety for vulnerable road users. Whilst there are many more rule changes outlined within the [draft proposals](#), the implications of ten changes which are particularly significant for people who cycle are outlined below.

### i. **Rule 1 - Hierarchy of responsibility / users**

This would introduce the concept of a “hierarchy of users”, putting road users most at risk in the event of a collision at the top of the hierarchy. That’s pedestrians, in particular children, older adults and disabled people, followed by cyclists, horse riders and motorcyclists. It wouldn’t remove the need for all users to behave responsibly or give priority to pedestrians and cyclists in every situation, but it would ensure that their needs were considered first.

It would mean that people in charge of the largest vehicles, with the potential to cause the most harm in a collision, would bear the greatest responsibility to take care and reduce the danger they pose to others. So, a lorry driver would have a greater responsibility to those driving a car or motorcycle, and likewise people cycling would have a greater responsibility than pedestrians.

Cycling UK wanted this rule to be framed as a “hierarchy of responsibility”, rather than a “hierarchy of users”, but nevertheless support the rule change because it would establish the principle that road users who present the greatest risk to others should bear greater responsibility.

### ii. **Rules 2, 3 & 76 -Junction priority**

This would introduce an underlying principle that vehicles turning at unsignalised junctions should give way to pedestrians or cyclists going straight ahead across their path, unless traffic signs, signals or markings say otherwise. The person going straight ahead would have right of way over the person turning left. This should reduce ‘left hook’ collisions and make it easier to maintain cycle track priority at junctions.

The changes proposed reflect the concept of a hierarchy of responsibility / user in rule 1, with cyclists also having to give way to pedestrians going straight ahead as they turn left.

### iii. **Rule 163 - Overtaking rules**

The old rule advised drivers to give cyclists at least as much room as they would when overtaking a car, without further reference to what that was. The new rule advises that, as a guide, this would mean leaving:

- a minimum distance of 1.5 metres at speeds under 30 mph.
- a minimum distance of 2.0 metres at speeds over 30 mph.
- for a large vehicle, a minimum distance of 2.0 metres in all conditions.
- more space when overtaking in bad weather (including high winds) and at night.

The new rule would advise that cyclists are permitted to filter through traffic, specifically confirming that cyclists may overtake slower moving or stationary traffic on their right or left, including at the approach to junctions, but are advised to exercise caution when doing so.

**iv. Rule 239 - Opening car doors**

The new rule would advise people to open car doors using the hand on the opposite side to the door they are opening, so you would use your left hand to open the driver's door on your right-hand side. This technique, known as the [Dutch Reach](#), makes you turn your head to look over your shoulder before opening the door, and should reduce the number of cyclists injured when someone opens a car door without looking.

**v. Rule 66 – Group riding**

The old rule stated that cyclists should never ride more than two abreast, and ride in single file on narrow or busy roads and when riding round bends. It was often misquoted to suggest that cyclists either shouldn't be riding two abreast or had to single out to allow drivers to overtake. The new proposals delete that wording and replace it with advice simply to ride in single file when drivers wish to overtake, and it is safe to let them do so.

We were initially supportive of this, because we believe that the intention was to indicate that that cyclists should only move to single file where it is safe to do so. However, following feedback from some of our supporters, we realised that new proposals could be misinterpreted by some people as a rule suggesting that cyclists should always single out when a driver wants to overtake – or where a driver thinks it is safe to overtake, rather than when the cyclist chooses to single out because they think it's safe to be overtaken.

We are therefore proposing different wording, and a new rule that:

“[cyclists' should] be considerate of the needs of other road users when riding with another and in small or large groups. You can ride two abreast and it is often safer to do so, particularly in larger groups or when accompanying children or less experienced riders. Switch to single file if you consider it safer to allow drivers to overtake.”

Instead of commanding when to ride single file, this wording makes it clear that you can ride two abreast, and immediately highlights reasons where this can be safer. It then suggests riding in single file only where you – the cyclist – consider it safe to allow drivers to overtake.

This wording retains the core intention of the DfT's proposals, that you can ride two abreast, that this can be safer in certain circumstances, and that you should only single out when it is safe to allow overtaking, but is clearer and less likely to be misunderstood or misinterpreted.

**vi. Rules 72 & 213 – Road positioning.**

The old HC rules didn't mention road positioning so many drivers wrongly assumed that cyclists should ride close to the kerb, which is often dangerous. The amended rule 72 refers to two basic road positions cyclists should adopt, depending on the situation, which many cyclists will recognise as the 'primary' and 'secondary' positions. It would advise cyclists to ride in the centre of the lane, to make themselves as clearly visible as possible (primary):

- on quiet roads or streets, moving over to the left if a faster vehicle comes up behind them, but only if they can do so safely.
- at the approach to junctions or where the road narrows, where it would be unsafe for drivers to overtake them.

The proposed rule 72 advice to cyclists is then outlined to drivers within a revised rule 213, explaining the circumstances in which and why cyclists may sometimes ride in the centre of the lane, rather than towards the side of the road, and that drivers should allow them to do so for their (the cyclists') own safety.

**vii. Rule 140 – Cycle lanes and cycle tracks**

The new rule would advise drivers that cyclists don't have to use cycle lanes or cycle tracks (a common misconception), but that when they do drivers should give way to them when turning or changing lane, including when a cyclist is approaching in the cycle lane or track from behind the driver. This includes specific advice to drivers to be prepared to stop and wait for a safe gap in the flow of cyclists before crossing the cycle lane or track.

**viii. Rule 151 – Allowing pedestrians and cyclists to cross in front in slow moving traffic.**

The new rule would advise drivers to allow pedestrians and cyclists to cross in front of them in slow moving traffic, clarifying that cyclists can filter past other traffic.

**ix. Rule 178 – Advanced stop lines**

The new rule would advise that where a driver has passed the first white line at the time that the signal turns red, that whilst they must stop before the second white line, they should also stop as soon as possible rather than just proceeding to the second line. The advice for drivers to allow cyclists enough time and space to move off when the green signal shows would also be extended to include any moving or waiting alongside them. Drivers of large vehicles would also be specifically advised to stop sufficiently far behind the first white line to see the whole area where cyclists may be waiting, allowing for any blind spots in front of their vehicle.

**x. Rule 186 – Drivers to give priority to cyclists at roundabouts.**

Drivers would be advised to give priority to cyclists on a roundabout, to give them plenty of room, not attempt to overtake within their lane, and to allow cyclists to move across their path as they travel around the roundabout.

Whilst some cyclists will move to the right when approaching a roundabout, and ride in the right hand lane when intending to continue across or around the roundabout, the new advice to drivers recognises that others may not feel either confident or comfortable enough to do this, and will stay in the left hand lane. There would therefore be further advice to drivers that cyclists may stay in the left hand lane when they intend to continue across or around the roundabout, and that drivers should take extra care when entering a roundabout to ensure that they do not cut across cyclists in the left-hand lane who are continuing around the roundabout.